

COMBINED TABLE OF CONTENTS
AND AUTHORITIES

	<u>PAGE(S)</u>
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION	1
I <u>INTRODUCTION</u>	1-3
II <u>STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>	3
<u>A. Shirdenia Bryant</u>	3-9
<u>B. Harry Curtis</u>	9-13
III <u>LEGAL ARGUMENT</u>	13
<u>A. SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD</u>	13-14
 <u>Authorities Cited:</u>	
<u>Matsushita Elec. Indus. Co. v. Zenith Radio Corp.</u> , 475 U.S. 574, 587, 106 S. Ct.	
1348 (1986)	13
<u>Celotex v. Catrett</u> , 477 U.S. 317, 321, 106 S.Ct. 2548 (1986).....	13
<u>Anderson v. Liberty Lobby, Inc.</u> , 477 U.S. 242, 106 S.Ct. 2505 (1986)	13-14
 <u>B. THE MUNICIPAL COURT DETERMINED THAT CURTIS</u> <u>BREACHED THE PURCHASE CONTRACT AND BIGELOW</u> <u>WAS ENTITLED TO POSSESSION</u>	14-15
 <u>C. THIS COURT MUST GIVE RES JUDICATA EFFECT TO THE</u> <u>PRIOR STATE COURT JUDGMENT AGAINST CURTIS</u>	15-16
 <u>Authorities Cited:</u>	
<u>City of Canton, Ohio v. Maynard</u> , 766 F.2d 236, (6 th Cir. 1985)	15
<u>Montana v. United States</u> , 440 U.S. 147, 59 L.Ed. 2d 210, 99 S.Ct. 910	
(1979)	15
<u>Anchor Motor Freight Inc. v. International Brotherhood of Teamsters</u> , 700 F. 2d	
1067, (6 th Cir.), cert. denied 464 U.S. 819, 104 S.Ct. 81 (1983)	15
<u>Harrington v. Vandalia-Butler Bd. Of Education</u> , 649 F. 2d 434, 437	
(6 th Cir. 1981)	16
<u>Marrese v. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons</u> , 470 U.S. 373, 84 L.Ed. 2d	
274, 105 S.Ct. 1237 (1985)	16
<u>Hapgood v. City of Warren</u> , 127 F. 3d 490, 493 (6 th Cir. 1997)	16
<u>Hospital Underwriting Group, Inc. v. Summit Health Ltd.</u> , 63 F.3d 486, 494 (6th	

Cir. 1995), cert. denied, 523 U.S. 1046 (1998)	16
<u>Migra v. Warren Cty. Sch. Dist. Bd. Of Educ.</u> , 465 U.S. 75, 79 L.Ed. 2d 56, 104	
S. Ct. 892 (1984).....	16
<u>Nilavar v. Mercy Health Sys.</u> , 142 F. Supp. 2d 859 (S.D. Ohio	
2000).....	16

D. RES JUDICATA APPLIES TO CURTIS' CLAIMS BASED ON THE JUDGMENT ENTRY AND THE WRIT OF RESTITUTION ENTERED IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT ACTION

16-18

Authorities Cited:

<u>Hapgood v. City of Warren</u> , 127 F. 3d 490, 493 (6 th Cir. 1997)	17
<u>Grava v. Parkman Township</u> , 73 Ohio St. 3d 379, 653 N.E. 2d 226, 229 (1995).....	17
<u>Stuhlruier v. Armco, Inc.</u> , 12 F. 3d 75, 77 (6 th Cir. 1993)	17
<u>National Amusements, Inc. v. City of Springdale</u> , 53 Ohio St. 3d 60, 558 N.E. 2d	
1178, 1180 (1990), cert. denied, 498 U.S. 1120, 111 S. Ct. 1075 (1991)	17
<u>Rogers v. Whitehall</u> , 25 Ohio St. 3d 67, 69, 494 N.E. 2d 1387, 1388 (1986).....	17
<u>City of Canton, Ohio v. Maynard</u> , 766 F.2d 236, 238 (6th Cir. 1985) (per curiam)..	18
<u>Johnson's Island, Inc. v. Board of Township Trustees</u> , 69 Ohio St. 2d 241, 431	
N.E.2d 672, 674-75 (1982)	18
<u>Stromberg v. Board of Education</u> , 64 Ohio St. 2d 98, 100, 413 N.E. 2d 1184	
(1980)	18
<u>Ashley v. Ashley</u> , 118 Ohio App. 155, 193 N.E. 2d 535 (1962)	18

E. THE ORDER AND THE WRIT OF RESTITUTION ENTERED ON FEBRUARY 1, 2000 IN THE MUNICIPAL COURT ACTION ARE FINAL JUDGMENTS UNDER OHIO LAW

18-21

Authorities Cited:

<u>Haas v. Gerski</u> (1963), 175 Ohio St. 327, 330, 194 N.E. 2d 765, 767	19
<u>Ruebeno v. Showalter</u> (1985), 24 Ohio App. 3d 232, 233, 495 N.E. 2d 31, 32.....	19
<u>Cuyahoga Metro. Hous. Auth. v. Jackson</u> , (1981), 67 Ohio St. 2d 129, 130, 423	
N.E. 2d 177, 178	19
<u>Cuyahoga Metropolitan Housing Authority v. Jackson</u> , (1981), 67 Ohio St. 2d 129	
423 N.E. 2d 177	20

Skillm
an v.
Brown
e,
(1990),
68
Ohio

App.
3d 615,
619,
589
N.E.
2d 407
.....
.

20

<u>Colonial American Development Co. dba Georgetown Village Apartments v. Griffith</u> , 48 Ohio St. 3d 72, 549 N.E. 2d 513 (1990)	20
<u>Tillimon v. Rideout</u> 2001 Ohio App. LEXIS 5308 (6 th Dist. 2001)	21

6. <u>UNDER RULE 13(A) OF THE OHIO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE THE FAILURE OF CURTIS TO RAISE HIS CLAIMS AGAINST BIGELOW AS COMPULSORY COUNTERCLAIMS IN THE PRIOR STATE ACTION BARS THE CLAIMS AS RES JUDICATA IN THIS ACTION AND THE OHIO ACTION</u>	21-24
--	-------

Authorities Cited:

<u>Rettig Enterprises, Inc. v. Koehler</u> , 68 Ohio St. 3d 274, 278, 626 N.E. 2d 99 (1994).....	21
<u>Geuga Truck and Implement Co. v. Juskiewicz</u> , 9 Ohio St. 3d 12, 14, 457 N.E. 2d 827 (1984)	22
<u>Grava v. Parkman Township</u> , 73 Ohio St. 3d 379, 653 N.E. 2d 226, 229 (1995) 653 N.E. 2d	22
<u>Hershman's Inc. v. Sachs-Dolmar Div.</u> , 89 Ohio App. 3d 74, 623 N.E. 2d 617 (1993)	22
<u>Eastman v. Benchmark Minerals, Inc.</u> , 34 Ohio App. 3d 255, 257, 518 N.E. 2d 23 (1986)	22
<u>Keisser v. Nationwide Mutual Insurance Co.</u> , 246 F. Supp. 2d 833 (N.D. Ohio 2003)	23
<u>Jemo Assoc., Inc. v. Garman</u> , 70 Ohio St. 2d 267, 270, 436 N.E. 2d 1353 (1982). 23	
<u>Haney v. Roberts</u> , 130 Ohio App. 3d 293, 720 N.E. 2d 101 (1998)	23
<u>Maduka v. Parries</u> , 14 Ohio App. 3d 191, 192, 470 N.E. 2d 464 (1984)	23
<u>Sherman v. Pearson</u> , 110 Ohio App. 3d 70, 72, 673 N.E. 2d 643 (1996).....	23
<u>Tafflin v. Levitt</u> , 493 U.S. 455, 110 S.Ct. 792, 107 L.Ed. 2d 887 (1990)	23
<u>Wunderle v. Central Trust Co., N.A.</u> , 829 F. 2d 1127 (6 th Cir. 1987)	24
<u>Brick Processors, Inc. v. Culbertson</u> , 2 Ohio App. 3d 478, 442 N.E. 2d 1313 (1981)	24

7. <u>PLAINTIFFS HAVE PRODUCED NO EVIDENCE OF FRAUD</u>	
---	--

<u>TO SUPPORT THE ALLEGATIONS OF THE AMENDED COMPLAINT</u>	24-27
--	-------

Authorities Cited:

<u>Sedima, S.D.P.R.L. v. Impex Company, Inc.</u> , 473 U.S. 479, 497, 105 S.Ct. 3275, 87 L.Ed. 2d 346 (1985)	25
<u>Hofstetter v. Fletcher</u> , 905 F. 2d 897, 902 (6 th Cir. 1988).....	25
<u>Finimore v. Epstein</u> , 18 Ohio App. 3d 88, 90, 481 N.E. 2d 1193 (Cuyahoga Cty. 1984)	25
<u>U.S. v. VanDyke</u> , 605 F.2d 220, 225 (6 th Cir. 1979)	25
<u>Watkins & Son Pet Supplies v. Iams Co.</u> , 254 F. 3d 607 (6 th Cir. 2001)	25-26
<u>Daup v. Tower Cellular, Inc.</u> , 136 Ohio App. 3d 555, 568, 737 N.E. 2d 128, 138 (2000)	26
<u>Blount Financial Services, Inc., et al. v. Walter E. Heller and Company, et al.</u> , 819 F. 2d 151 (6 th Cir. 1987)	26
<u>Kenty v. Bank One, Columbus, N.A.</u> , 92 F. 3d 384, 389-390 (6 th Cir. 1996)	26
<u>VanDenBroeck v. CommonPoint Mortgage Co.</u> , 210 F. 3d 696, 699, 701 (6 th Cir. 2000)	26
H. <u>PLAINTIFFS HAVE FAILED TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE OF A PATTERN OF RACKETEERING ACTIVITY</u>	27-28

Authorities Cited:

<u>H.J. Inc. v. Northwestern Bell Telephone, Inc.</u> , 492 U.S. 229, 109 S.Ct. 2893 (1989)	27
<u>Grantham and Mann, Inc. v. American Safety Products, Inc.</u> , 831 F. 2d 596, 605 (6 th Cir. 1987).....	27
<u>Haroco, Inc. v. American National Bank & Trust Co.</u> , 747 F. 2d 384, 398 (7 th Cir. 1984), aff'd 473 U.S. 606 (1985)	27
<u>Vild v. Visconsi</u> , 956 F. 2d 560, 569 (6 th Cir. 1992)	28
<u>American Eagle Credit Corp. v. Gaskins</u> , 920 F. 2d 352 (6 th Cir. 1990).....	28

1. <u>PLAINTIFFS HAVE FAILED TO PRODUCE EVIDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF A RICO ENTERPRISE, SEPARATE FROM THE RICO DEFENDANTS</u>	28-29
--	-------

Authorities Cited:

<u>Frank v. D'Ambrosi</u> , 4 F. 3d 1378 (6 th Cir. 1993).....	28
<u>VanDenBroeck v. CommonPoint Mortgage Co.</u> , 210 F. 3d 696, 699 (6 th Cir. 2000).....	28
<u>Puckett v. Tennessee Eastman Co.</u> , 889 F. 2d 1481, 1489 (6 th Cir. 1989)	29

J. THE CLAIMS OF BRYANT AND CURTIS ARE BARRED BY

THE OHIO STATUTE OF FRAUDS..... 29-31**Authorities Cited:**

<u>Hamilton Foundry & Machine Co. v. International Molders Union</u> , 193 F. 2d 209 (6 th Cir. 1991)	29
<u>American Int'l Enters v. FDIC</u> , 732 F. Supp. 828, 829 (E.D. Tenn.) 894 F. 2d 1336 (6 th Cir. 1989)	29
<u>BML Invs. V. FDIC</u> , 732 F. Supp. 828m, 829 (E.D. Tenn.) aff'd, 894 F. 2d 1336 (6 th Cir. 1989).....	29
<u>Beggin v. Fort Worth Mortgage Corp.</u> , 638 N.E. 2d 604, 608 (Ohio App.) appeal not allowed, 637 N.E. 2d 11 (1994)	30
<u>Kling v. Bordner</u> , 65 Ohio St. 86, 61 N.E. 2d 148, 148 (1901)	30
<u>North Coast Cookies, Inc. v. Sweet Temptations, Inc.</u> , 16 Ohio App. 3d 342, 348, 476 N.E. 2d 388 (1984)	30, 31
<u>Marion Production Credit Assn. V. Cochran</u> (1988), 40 Ohio St. 3d 265, 274, 533 N.E. 2d 325	31

11. **THE CLAIMS OF BRYANT AND CURTIS ARE BARRED BY THE PAROL EVIDENCE RULE** 32-33

Authorities Cited:

<u>Charles A. Burton, Inc. v. Durkee</u> (1952), 158 Ohio St. 313, 109 N.E. 2d 265..	32
<u>Columbia Gas Transmission Corp. v. Ogle</u> , 51 F. Supp. 2d 866, 871 (S.D. Ohio 1997)	32
<u>Charles A. Burton, Inc. v. Durkee</u> (1952), 158 Ohio St. 313, 109 N.E. 2d 265..	33
<u>Gerwin v. Clark</u> (1977), 50 Ohio App. 2d 331, 363 N.E. 2d 602	33
<u>Harris v. Equilon Enters., L.L.C.</u> , 107 F. supp. 2d 921, 936 (S.D. Ohio 2000)..	33
<u>Lincoln Elec. Co. v. St. Paul & Fire Marine Ins. Co.</u> , 10 F. Supp. 2d 856, 878 (N.D. Oh. 1998)	33
<u>Ameritrust Co. v. Murray</u> (1984), 20 Ohio App. 3d 333, 486 N.E. 2d 180, 183	33
<u>Maust v. Bank One Columbus, N.A.</u> , 83 Ohio App. 3d 103, 108, 614 N.E. 2d 765 (1992)	33

12. **CURTIS HAS NO CLAIMS AGAINST THE DEFENDANTS BASED ON A LAND CONTRACT THAT WAS NOT SIGNED....** 34-35

Authorities Cited:

<u>Cuyahoga Met. Housing Auth. v. Watkins</u> , (1984), 23 Ohio App. 3d 20, 491 N.E. 2d 701.....	34
<u>Dalton v. Acker</u> (1981), 5 Ohio App. 3d 150, 450 N.E. 2d 288	34
<u>Sattler v. Sattler</u> (1986), 30 Ohio App. 243, 507 N.E. 2d 430.....	35

13.	<u>BRYANT IS BOUND BY THE TERMS OF THE RELEASE SIGNED IN FAVOR OF BIGELOW</u>	35-36
-----	---	-------

Authorities Cited:

<u>McCluskey v. Rob San Services, Inc.</u> , 443 F. Supp., 65, 68 (S.D. Ohio 1977)...	35
<u>Barden Detroit Casino, LLC v. City of Detroit</u> 59 F. Supp. 641, 659 (E.D. MI 1999).....	35
<u>Whit v. Huchinson</u> , (1975), 43 Ohio St. 2d 53, 58, 330 N.E. 2d 678, 682.....	36

14.	<u>BIGELOW OWED NO FIDUCIARY DUTY TO PLAINTIFFS</u>	36
-----	---	----

Authorities Cited:

<u>Smith v. Provident Bank</u> , 170 F. 3d 609 (6 th Cir. 1999).....	36
<u>Layman v. Binns</u> (1988), 35 Ohio St. 3d 176, 519 N.E. 2d 642	36

IV	<u>CONCLUSION</u>	36
----	-------------------------	----

APPENDIX A - ROSEANNE CHRISTIAN AFFIDAVIT

APPENDIX B - PRESCOTT BIGELOW IV AFFIDAVIT

APPENDIX C - TILLIMON V. RIDEOUT